DILLON 🗖 EUSTACE

DUBLIN CAYMAN ISLANDS NEW YORK TOKYO



Asset Management Brexit Update

8th February, 2019

www.dilloneustace.com

Guest Speakers



Imogen Garner

Imogen is a leading practitioner in the field of UK and EU financial services law and regulation.

Head of Norton Rose Fulbright's buy-side regulatory practice.

Has also worked with a number of asset management industry trade bodies and the FSA.



Member of both houses of the Irish Parliament from 1996 to 2014. Elected MEP in May 2014.

Appointed to the European Parliaments Economic & Monetary Affairs (ECON) Committee, Development Committee and Budgetary Control Committee.

European Parliament's rapporteur for the IORPS II Directive and shadow rapporteur for the Money Market Funds regulation.

Brian Hayes MEP

Currently European People's Party rapporteur for the Pan European Pension Proposal (PEPP). Has also worked on the European Parliament's report on Fintech and on Third County Equivalence.

Incoming CEO of Banking & Payments Federation Ireland (BPFI) with effect from April 2019.

Donnacha O'Connor, Partner, Dillon Eustace:

Irish asset management and investment funds Brexit regulatory update

Imogen Garner, Partner, Norton Rose Fulbright LLP:

- UK asset management and financial services Brexit update
- Brian Hayes, MEP:
- Brexit and the financial services landscape post Brexit
- Q&A

Delegation to UK

- > AIFMD;
 - Regulation 21(1)(d) of AIFMD Regulations authorised Irish AIFM cannot delegate portfolio management/risk management to a third country firm unless, inter alia, "co-operation between the [Central] Bank and the supervisory authority of the undertaking shall be ensured".
- ➤ UCITS;
 - Regulation 23(1)(d) of UCITS Regulations Irish UCITS management company / SMIC cannot delegate investment management to a third country firm unless "co-operation between the [Central] Bank and the supervisory authorities of the third country concerned is ensured".
- ESMA Multilateral MOU between EEA regulators and the FCA announced by ESMA on February 1st, 2019 satisfies both requirements;
- UK AIFMs acting as AIFMs to Irish AIFs (of which there are over 280 currently), will become third country AIFMs the Irish fund will have to contractually impose the Central Bank's registered AIFM requirements set out in Part III of Chapter 2 of the AIF Rulebook. No passport under AIFMD. Procedurally, we expect no prior Central Bank approval required. Repapering of the AIFM Agreement, prospectus, other agreements to which the AIFM is party. Existing passporting notifications?

Where we stand on the key regulatory issues

Legal capacity of UK firms to service Irish funds;

- Regulation 5(5)(b) of MiFID II Regulations full exemption for a third country firm that does not establish a branch in Ireland that provides one or more investment services/investment activities (for which it is required to be authorised in its home jurisdiction) exclusively to per se professional investors/eligible counterparties in Ireland [which includes regulated funds and fund management companies, excludes individuals, small corporates, personal pension plans, etc] requires, inter alia, that there are "co-operation arrangements that include provisions regulating the exchange of information for the purpose of preserving the integrity of the market and protecting investors are in place between the [Central] Bank and the competent authorities where the third-country firm is established".
- ESMA Multilateral MOU between EEA regulators and the FCA announced by ESMA on February 1st, 2019 satisfies this requirement. The Central Bank previously confirmed that the IOSCO multi-lateral MOU, of which the Central Bank and FCA are signatories, also satisfied this requirement.

Where we stand on the key regulatory issues

- Central Bank's "Location Rule" for Irish management companies
 - Status of Designated Persons or Directors located in the UK post Brexit?
 - Central Bank's "notice of intention" of 4th February, 2019 in the event of a hard Brexit: (as you were for the time being but need to keep an eye on it):

"Should such an eventuality arise, the Central Bank will consider whether the UK is a country to be determined as meeting the Effective Supervision Requirement. For the period while this is under consideration, the Central Bank does not propose adopting a default position which would treat the UK as not satisfying the Effective Supervision Requirement. After consideration of the above, the Central Bank will determine whether the UK, as a country, continues to satisfy the Effective Supervisory Requirement and the Central Bank will confirm same by publishing a notice on its website. Such determination may be changed, including if circumstances change."

Continued access by Irish Funds to CREST services facilitated by EU temporary equivalence decision

Areas to watch

- Reverse Solicitation: UK firms / EU clients may look to rely on reverse solicitation (MIFID II services/activities from UK, etc.) in the case of continuous service across Brexit; beware!
- Urgent requests for novations! UK firms looking to novate to EU affiliate service providers (where the service is not covered by a safe harbour – non-MIFID services by UK banks, services to non per se professional clients, services to EU clients where there is no domestic safe-harbour);
- MiFID II safe harbours may come under some pressure in Europe;
 - ESMA's view, set out in a September 26th, 2018 letter to the European Commission is that MiFID II *could be reworked* to:
 - ensure some direct supervisory powers by national EU authorities over investment services provided by third country firms to professional clients in the EU [potential impact on Article 5(5)(b) of Irish MIFID II Regulations];
 - review the reverse solicitation rules, in which third country firms can provide investment services/engage EU-based clients solicit business from third country firms without MiFID II applying [potential impact on Article 46 MiFIR, Regulation 51(1) Irish MIFID II Regulations].

Ireland's Omnibus Brexit Bill;

- Draft of 24th January, 2019;
- States that it may change as we near Brexit;
- Wide ranging subject matter; currently only Part 7 impacts Funds reflects the EU's temporary equivalence decision (allowing CREST, operated by Euroclear UK to continue to engage with Irish funds).

Financial institutions Energy Infrastructure, mining and commodities Transport Technology and innovation Life sciences and healthcare



Brexit: Some UK perspectives

8 February 2019 Imogen Garner, Partner Norton Rose Fulbright LLP



Timeline: recent / upcoming events_



What are the key buy-side concerns?

As with other sectors, the buy-side is pushing forward with no-deal preparations

Absence of co-operation arrangements between UK and EU competent authorities

Future direction of EU financial services legislation, including third country access rules

Lack of clarity regarding future EU27 outbound marketing, outbound services into the EU27 and inbound marketing and services into the UK

Lack of clarity regarding treatment of existing investors

Issues relating to staffing, e.g. recruiting new EU27 employees in the UK, finding skilled/experienced individuals to fill new EU27 functions and uncertainty regarding existing EU27 employees in the UK

The UK's contingency measures for the buy-side



Practical next steps for EEA fund managers

Managers should check their inbound passport on the UK financial services register and let their national competent authority know **as soon as possible** if any changes are required

Register with the FCA's Connect system by the end of **28 March 2019**

NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT

Once the notification is

submitted, managers

should receive an

email confirmation

from the FCA and will

remain on the UK

financial services

register with a

temporary permission

Complete the TPR

notification - the FCA

has produced a guide

for inbound

passporting EEA fund

managers

Financial services contract regime

The FSCR will provide a limited period of time during which EEA firms can continue to service UK contracts entered into prior to exit, in order to wind down their UK business in an orderly fashion Relevant to EEA firms that passport into the UK to carry on a regulated activity and fail to notify under the TPR or are unsuccessful in securing authorisation at the end of it, but still have UK regulated business to run off

EEA firms within this regime will not be able to write new UK business and will be limited to regulated activities necessary for the performance of pre-existing contracts

EEA firms managing UK authorised funds (including trustees and depositaries of such funds) will not be able to continue to manage those funds under FSCR after exit day – they must use the TPR FSCR is time limited and will apply for a maximum of five years for all non-insurance contracts (extension by the Treasury and the regulators is possible)

Firms in the FSCR will have to retain home state authorisation and must notify the FCA if this is cancelled or varied

FCA/ESMA cooperation agreement

ESMA and European securities regulators have agreed MoUs with the FCA to collaborate even in the case of a no-deal Brexit

The deal will ensure cooperation between regulators, including exchanges of information, in the case of no-deal Brexit, allowing markets to continue functioning while the UK transitions to life outside the EU and negotiates its permanent relationship with the bloc



The European angle

Likely continuation of services without additional regulatory requirements

Likely continuation of services but subject to regulatory requirements

Licensing requirements likely to be applicable









Brexit Pathfinder



With the UK and the wider EU facing the consequences of Brexit, businesses globally need to manage the challenges and pursue the opportunities. Members of our financial services team have been working with clients on a wide range of regulatory issues arising out of Brexit and have also assisted industry associations produce surveys and policy papers.

Leading clients through Brexit and beyond, our Brexit Pathfinder hub contains our latest views and analysis on regulatory issues, helping businesses make sense of the regulatory implications of Brexit.





EU Exit Statutory Instruments

Ø

Brexit Pathfinder

Insight and analysis on financial services regulatory issues, helping you navigate Brexit

Industry impact	Blog and video series	Brexit training library	Our team	

Topic -	Statutory Instrument -	Explanatory Memorandum T	Explanatory Memorandum Link 👻	Date –	Comments -	Made –	Description -	Relevant E 🔨
Financial Services	Markets in Financial Instruments (Amendment) (EU Exit) Regulations 2018	Yes	EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM TO THE MARKETS IN FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (AMENDMENT) (EU EXIT) REGULATIONS 2018 2018 No. [XXXX]	05/10/2018	Amended 18 October 2018 Made 20 December 2018	Yes		Markets in Fin Instruments (Amendment) Regulations 2
) Financial Services	Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (Claims Management Activity) Order 2018	Yes	EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM TO THE FINANCIAL SERVICES AND MARKETS ACT 2000 (CLAIMS MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY) ORDER 2018 2018 No. [XXXX]	10/10/2018		No		FCA publishe Brexit CP and Treasury publ more draft SI:
Financial	The Collective Investment Schemes	Yes	EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM TO	09/10/2018	Updated 7 December 2018 I aid before Parliament 17 December	No		The Collective Investment Se



Asset Management Regulation hub



Asset management regulation AIFMD insight UCITS insight

Whilst the global financial crisis may have receded, the asset management industry continues to assimilate significant regulatory change – Brexit, AIFMD review, FCA Asset Management Market Study – to name but a few.

Our highly regarded financial services team provides advice on all aspects of regulation affecting the asset management industry. We advise fund managers and segregated portfolio managers, as well as institutional and other sophisticated investors. Our asset management clients employ diverse strategies, from UCITS and traditional long-only managers through to hedge, real estate, private equity, infrastructure and debt funds. We also advise service providers, such as depositories and custodians.

This hub will keep asset managers up to date on regulatory developments as they happen through our blogs, technical resources and surveys.



Search



GLOBAL ASSET MANAGEMENT QUARTERLY

Highlighting key developments that will be of interest to and affect our asset management clients, including market trends and developments in tax and buy-side regulation globally across Europe, Africa, Asia, Australia, North America and The Middle East.

Find out more



AIFMD surveys





IMA template

THE INVESTMENT ASSOCIATION

MODEL DISCRETIONARY INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT

Published by The Investment Association in cooperation with Norton Rose Fulbright LLF

May 2018

See https://www.regulationtomorrow.com/eu/investment-association-publishes-new-version-of-model-discretionary-investment-management-agreement/



Buy-side regulatory practice

Norton Rose Fulbright's buy-side regulatory practice continues to build a global reputation for providing high quality advice to a broad range of clients.

Our lawyers practice in Europe, the US, Asia Pacific, the Middle East, Canada and South Africa and have in-depth experience advising buy-side clients of all sizes

Our highly regarded team provides advice on all aspects of regulation affecting the buy-side. We advise fund managers and segregated portfolio managers, as well as institutions and other sophisticated investors. Our asset manager clients employ diverse strategies, from UCITS and traditional long-only managers through to hedge, real estate, private equity, infrastructure and debt funds. We also advise service providers, such as depositaries and custodians. Financial institutions Energy Infrastructure, mining and commodities Transport Technology and innovation Life sciences and healthcare

NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT



Asset Management Brexit Update



Brian Hayes MEP

Brexit and the financial

services landscape post Brexit